

REMARKS

Favorable reconsideration and allowance of the claims of the present application are respectfully submitted.

The present response and amendment is filed in response to the Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment dated June 30, 2008, and the non-final Office Action dated December 3, 2004. Further, the present response incorporates the amendments of the response filed March 4, 2005 (not entered), in response to the December 3, 2004 Office Action. The March 4, 2005 response was mistakenly placed in an incorrect application file at the USPTO, and ultimately, a June 16, 2005 Notice of Abandonment was withdrawn on May 19, 2008. Further, the March 4, 2005 response was not entered as it was the subject of the June 30, 2008 notice of Non-Compliant Amendment, which ultimately solicited the present response.

Claims 1-24 are pending.

Claims 1-3, 5-12 and 14-15, 18-22 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a). Base Claims 1, 10, 16, 18, and 20 are amended, and dependent Claims 4 and 13 are canceled herein without prejudice.

The drawings, FIGS. 7, 15-16 and 19-20, stand objected to because the shading reduces the legibility of the legends and makes the figures difficult to read in electronic form. In response, Applicants submit replacement sheets FIGS. 7, 15-16 and 19-20 which are more legible. Therefore, Applicants respectfully request withdrawal of the objection to the drawings.

Applicants wish to thank the Examiner for indicating that dependent Claims 4 and 13 would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. In response, Applicants, in order to advance the prosecution of the instant application, have incorporated dependent Claims 4 and 13 into base Claims 1, 10, 16, 18, and 20.

Accordingly, since the limitation set forth in allowable dependent claims are now incorporated into all five base claims, the prior art now fails to suggest or teach the present invention which recites a method or system related to electronic content, *inter alia*, **wherein said certificate includes address information for said electronic content and time information for said proof.**

Therefore, Applicants respectfully request withdrawal of the obviousness rejections to the base claims. Allowance of the respective dependent claims is submitted as being dependent on allowable base claims and for the further subject matter recited therein.

Thus, in view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, Applicants submit that the present case is in condition for allowance, which action is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully Submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Steven Fischman', with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

Steven Fischman
Registration No. 34,594

Scully, Scott, Murphy & Presser, P.C.
400 Garden City Plaza – Suite 300
Garden City, New York 11530
(516) 742-4343

SF:MAP:av